arXiv:1512.08006v1 [math.NA] 25 Dec 2015

NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS FOR A TIMOSHENKO-TYPE SYSTEM WITH THERMOELASTICITY WITH SECOND SOUND

MOHAMED ALI AYADI, AHMED BCHATNIA, AND MAKRAM HAMOUDA

Abstract. In this work, we consider a nonlinear vibrating Timoshenko system with thermoelasticity with second sound. We recall first the results of well-posdness and regularity and the asymptotic behavior of the energy obtained in [1]. Then, we use a fourth order finite difference scheme to compute the numerical solutions and thus we show the energy decay in several cases depending on the stability number.

Résumé Dans ce travail, on considère le système de Timoshenko non-linéaire avec Thermoélasticité et deuxième son. On rappelle d'abord les résultats d'existence, de régularité et du comportement asymptotique de l'énergie obtenus dans [1]. Ensuite, on valide numériquement ces résultats théoriques. Pour cela, on utilise une méthode de différences finies d'ordre 4. Ainsi la solution numérique obtenue permet de valider la décroissance de l'énergie dans plusieurs cas selon la valeur du paramètre de stabilité.

1. Introduction

Historically, the first model of Timoshenko system was introduced in 1921 by Stephen Timoshenko in the absence of dissipative term which describes the transverse vibration of the beam. Timoshenko considered thus the following hyperbolic system:

$$\begin{cases} \rho\varphi_{tt} = (k(\varphi_x + \psi))_x, & \text{in } (0,L)\times\mathbb{R}_+, \\ I_\rho\psi_{tt} = (EI\psi_x)_x + k(\varphi_t + \psi), & \text{in } (0,L)\times\mathbb{R}_+, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where, ρ, k, I_ρ and EI are positive constants, $\varphi = \varphi(x,t)$ is the displacement vector and $\psi = \psi(x,t)$ is the rotation angle of the filament.

Among new works, many researchers used the classical model for the propagation of heat turns into the well-known equations for the temperature θ and the heat flux vector q

$$\theta_t + \beta div q = 0, \tag{2}$$

and

$$q + \kappa\nabla\theta = 0. \tag{3}$$

with positive constants β and κ. Substituting (3) (Fourier's low) into (2), yields the following parabolic heat equation

$$\theta_t - \beta\kappa\ \triangle q = 0. \tag{4}$$

Using the Fourier's low, Rivera and Racke [6] investigated the following system

$$\begin{cases} \rho_1\varphi_{tt} - k(\varphi_x + \psi)_x = 0, & \text{in } (0,L)\times\mathbb{R}_+, \\ \rho_2\psi_{tt} - b\psi_{xx} + k(\varphi_x + \psi) + \delta\theta_x = 0, & \text{in } (0,L)\times\mathbb{R}_+, \\ \rho_3\theta_t - \kappa\beta\theta_{xx} + \delta\psi_{xt} = 0, & \text{in } (0,L)\times\mathbb{R}_+, \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

where, ρ_1, ρ_2, ρ_3, k, b, κ, β, and δ are positive constants. They proved several exponential decay results for the linearized system and nonexponential stability results for the case of different wave speeds ($\frac{k}{\rho_1} \neq \frac{b}{\rho_2}$).
Later, Fernández Sare and Racke considered in [3] the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_1\varphi_{tt} - k(\varphi_x + \psi)_x = 0, & \text{in } (0,L)\times\mathbb{R}_+, \\ \rho_2\psi_{tt} - b\psi_{xx} + k(\varphi_x+\psi) + \delta\theta_x = 0, & \text{in } (0,L)\times\mathbb{R}_+, \\ \rho_3\theta_t + q_x + \delta\psi_{tx} = 0, & \text{in } (0,L)\times\mathbb{R}_+, \\ \tau q_t + \beta q + \theta_x = 0, & \text{in } (0,L)\times\mathbb{R}_+, \\ \varphi(0,t)=\varphi(L,t)=\psi_x(0,t)=\psi_x(L,t)=\theta_x(0,t)=\theta_x(L,t)=0, & \forall\, t\in\mathbb{R}_+, \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

and proved that the coupling via Cattaneo's law $(6)_4$ does not make the energy decays exponentially which is usually obtained for the coupling via Fourier's law (system (5)).

Numerically, Raposo et al [2] considered the following Timoshenko system with a delay term in the feedback:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_1\varphi_{tt}(x,t) - k(\varphi_x+\psi))_x(x,t) + \mu_1\varphi_t(x,t) + \mu_2\varphi_t(x,t-\tau) = 0, & \text{in } (0,L)\times\mathbb{R}_+, \\ \rho_2\psi_{tt}(x,t) - b\psi_{xx}(x,t) - k(\varphi_t+\psi)(x,t) + \mu_3\psi_t(x,t) + \mu_4\psi_t(x,t-\tau) = 0, & \text{in } (0,L)\times\mathbb{R}_+, \\ \varphi(0,t) = \varphi(L,t) = \psi(0,t) = \psi(L,t) = 0, & \forall\, t>0, \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

and they gave different tests of decay results for the solutions of the previous system.

Recently, Ayadi et al. [1] considered the following coupling of two wave equations of Timoshenko type system:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_1\varphi_{tt} - k(\varphi_x+\psi)_x = 0, & \text{in } (0,1)\times\mathbb{R}_+, \\ \rho_2\psi_{tt} - b\psi_{xx} + k(\varphi_x+\psi) + \delta\theta_x + \alpha(t)h(\psi_t) = 0, & \text{in } (0,1)\times\mathbb{R}_+, \\ \rho_3\theta_t + q_x + \delta\psi_{xt} = 0, & \text{in } (0,1)\times\mathbb{R}_+, \\ \tau q_t + \beta q + \theta_x = 0, & \text{in } (0,1)\times\mathbb{R}_+. \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

In order to study the stability properties of the solution of the system (8), the authors introduced in [1] a stability number

$$\mu = \left(\tau - \frac{\rho_1}{k\rho_3}\right)\left(\frac{\rho_2}{b} - \frac{\rho_1}{k}\right) - \frac{\tau\delta^2\rho_1}{bk\rho_3}.$$

This number μ is crucial in determining the asymptotic behavior of the energy associated with system (8).

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we recall the results of the existence and asymptotic behavior of the solutions of the system (8). In Section 3 we present the numerical solutions in some particular cases.

2. Results of existence and asymptotic behavior

In this section, we recall the results obtained in [1]. Precisely, the authors studied the system:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_1\varphi_{tt} - k(\varphi_x+\psi)_x = 0, & \text{in } (0,1)\times\mathbb{R}_+, \\ \rho_2\psi_{tt} - b\psi_{xx} + k(\varphi_x+\psi) + \delta\theta_x + \alpha(t)h(\psi_t) = 0, & \text{in } (0,1)\times\mathbb{R}_+, \\ \rho_3\theta_t + q_x + \delta\psi_{xt} = 0, & \text{in } (0,1)\times\mathbb{R}_+, \\ \tau q_t + \beta q + \theta_x = 0, & \text{in } (0,1)\times\mathbb{R}_+, \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

where, ρ_1, ρ_2, ρ_3, b, k, δ, β are positive constants, $\varphi = \varphi(x,t)$ is the displacement vector, $\psi = \psi(x,t)$ is the rotation angle of the filament, $\theta = \theta(x,t)$ is the temperature difference and $q = q(x,t)$ is the heat flux vector. Also, α and h verify the assumptions:

(A_1) α: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a differentiable and decreasing function.

(A_2) h: $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous non-decreasing function with $h(0) = 0$ and there exists a continuous strictly increasing odd function $h_0 \in C([0, +\infty))$, continuously differentiable in a neighborhood of 0, satisfying $h_0(0) = 0$ and such that

$$\begin{cases} h_0(s) \leq |h(s)| \leq h_0^{-1}(s), & \text{for all } |s| \leq \varepsilon, \\ c_1|s| \leq |h(s)| \leq c_2|s|, & \text{for all } |s| \geq \varepsilon, \end{cases}$$

where $c_i > 0$ for i = 1, 2.

With (9), we associate the boundary conditions given by

$$\varphi_x(0,t) = \varphi_x(1,t) = \psi(0,t) = \psi(1,t) = q(0,t) = q(1,t) = 0, \quad \forall\ t \geq 0, \tag{10}$$

and the following initial conditions

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(x,0) = \varphi_0(x),\ \varphi_t(x,0) = \varphi_1(x), & \forall\ x \in (0,1), \\ \psi(x,0) = \psi_0(x),\ \psi_t(x,0) = \psi_1(x), & \forall\ x \in (0,1), \\ \theta(x,0) = \theta_0(x),\ q(x,0) = q_0(x), & \forall\ x \in (0,1). \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

2.1. **Well-posedness and regularity.** Here, we state the existence and uniqueness results of solutions of the Timoshenko system composed of (9), (10) and (11) (see [1]).

Theorem 1. *Assume that (A_1) and (A_2) are satisfied, then for all initial data*

$$(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \psi_0, \psi_1, \theta_0, q_0) \in (H^2_\star(0,1) \cap H^1_\star(0,1)) \times H^1_\star(0,1) \times (H^2(0,1) \cap H^1_0(0,1)) \times H^1_0(0,1) \times H^1_\star(0,1) \times H^1_0(0,1),$$

the system (9)–(11) has a unique solution $(\varphi, \psi, \theta, q)$ that verifies

$$(\varphi, \psi) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}_+, (H^2_\star(0,1) \cap H^1_\star(0,1)) \times (H^2(0,1) \cap H^1_0(0,1))) \cap\ C^1(\mathbb{R}_+, H^1_\star(0,1) \times H^1_0(0,1)) \cap\ C^2(\mathbb{R}_+, L^2_\star(0,1) \times L^2(0,1)),$$

and

$$(\theta, q) \in\ C^0(\mathbb{R}_+, H^1_\star(0,1) \times H^1_0(0,1)) \cap\ C^1(\mathbb{R}_+, L^2_\star(0,1) \times L^2(0,1)),$$

where

$$L^2_\star(0,1) = \{v \in L^2(0,1)\ \ s.t.\ \int_0^1 v(s)ds = 0\},$$

$$H^1_\star(0,1) = H^1(0,1) \cap L^2_\star(0,1),$$

and

$$H^2_\star(0,1) = \{v \in H^2(0,1)\ \ s.t.\ \ v_x(0) = v_x(1) = 0\}.$$

2.2. **Asymptotic behavior.** In this subsection, we give the general decay results for a wide class of relaxation functions (denoted here by h).

Theorem 2. *Let us suppose that (A_1) and (A_2) are satisfied, then for $\mu = 0$ there exist positive constants k_1, k_2, k_3 and ε_0 such that the energy $E(t)$, associated with (9)–(11), satisfies*

$$E(t) \leq k_3 H_1^{-1}\left(k_1 \int_0^t \alpha(s)\ ds + k_2\right), \qquad \text{for all}\ \ t \geq 0, \tag{12}$$

where

$$H_1(t) = \int_t^1 \frac{1}{H_2(s)} ds, \qquad H_2(t) = tH'(\varepsilon_0 t).$$

Here H_1 is a strictly decreasing and convex function on $(0,1]$, with $\lim_{t\to 0} H_1(t) = +\infty$.

In the following, in order to show explicit stability results in term of asymptotic profiles in time, we consider some special values for the function h_0.

Example 1. For $h_0(s) = cs^p$, we have
- If $p = 1$, then $E(t) \leq k_3 \exp(-c(k_1 \int_0^t \alpha(s)\ ds + k_2))$.
- If $p > 1$, then $E(t) \leq c(k_1 \int_0^t \alpha(s)\ ds + k_2)^{-\frac{2}{p-1}}$.

Example 2. For $h_0(s) = \exp(-\frac{1}{s})$, we have

$$E(t) \leq k_3 \varepsilon_0^{-1} \left(\ln \left(\frac{k_1 \int_0^t \alpha(s) ds + k_2 + c \exp(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_0}})}{c} \right) \right)^{-2}.$$

Example 3. For $h_0(s) = \frac{1}{s} \exp(-\frac{1}{s^2})$, we have

$$E(t) \leq \varepsilon \left(\ln(\frac{k_1 \int_0^t \alpha(s) ds + k_2 + c \exp(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0})}{c}) \right)^{-1}.$$

Next, we will consider the case where the stability number $\mu \neq 0$.

Theorem 3. *Let us suppose that the derivative of the function h is bounded and the assumptions (A_1) and (A_2) hold, then for $\mu \neq 0$, the energy solution of (9)–(11) satisfies*

$$E(t) \leq E(0) H_2^{-1}(\frac{c}{t}), \tag{13}$$

where

$$H_2(t) = tH^{'}(\varepsilon_0 t) \text{ with } \lim_{t \to 0} H_2(t) = 0.$$

In the following, we give some examples to illustrate the energy decay rates given by Theorem 3.

Example 1. For $h_0(s) = cs^p$, then
- If p=1, we have $E(t) \leq \frac{c}{t}$.
- If $p > 1$ we have $E(t) \leq ct^{-\frac{2}{p+1}}$.

Example 2. Let h be given by $h(x) = \frac{1}{x^3} \exp(-\frac{1}{x^2})$ and we choose $h_0(x) = \frac{1+x^2}{x^3} \exp(-\frac{1}{x^2})$, we obtain

$$E(t) \leq c(\ln(t))^{-1}.$$

3. Numerical solution

We will start making use of Finite Difference Method to derive a discrete representation of the solution of the Timoshenko system (9)–(11) in the particular case $\alpha(t) = 1$ and $h(s) = s$.
More precisely, we use the classical finite difference discretization for the temporal variable and the Implicit Compact Finite Difference Method of fourth-order for discretization of the space variable. The full nonlinear case and the comparison between the different Difference Finite Methods (implicit, explicit and semi-implicit) will be considered in a subsequent work.

3.1. Discrete formulation.

Consider the discrete domain of $\Omega_h = (0, 1)$ with uniform grid $x_i = ih,\ i = 0, 1, ..., I; h = \frac{1}{I}$. The temporal discretization of the interval $T_n = (0, T)$ is given by $t_n = n\kappa,\ n = 0, 1, ..., N;\ \kappa = ch$, where c is a positive constant and I and N are two positive integers. Denote by $\omega(x_i, t_n) = \omega_i^n$ the value of the function ω evaluated at the point x_i and the instant t_n.



FIGURE 1. Mesh of the domain $\Omega_h \times T_n$

In Figure 1, we show the pattern mesh of ω using the discretization of the intervals $(0,1)$ and $(0,T)$, with the classification of nodes is as follows: internal (circles), boundaries (stars), initials (squares) and ghost (diamonds).
Now, We define the following approximation of the derivatives of ω

$$(\omega_t)_i^n \simeq \frac{\omega_i^{n+1}-\omega_i^{n-1}}{2\kappa}, \qquad (\omega_{tt})_i^n \simeq \frac{\omega_i^{n+1}-2\omega_i^n+\omega_i^{n-1}}{\kappa^2}, \tag{14}$$

$$(\omega_x)_i^n \simeq \frac{\omega_{i+1}^n-\omega_{i-1}^n}{2h}, \qquad (\omega_{xx})_i^n \simeq \frac{1}{h^2}\left[\frac{\delta_x^2}{1+\dfrac{1}{12}\delta_x^2}\right]\omega_i^n, \tag{15}$$

with

$$\delta_x^2\omega_i^n = \omega_{i+1}^n - 2\omega_i^n + \omega_{i-1}^n \text{ and } \left[1+\frac{1}{12}\delta_x^2\right]\omega_i^n = \frac{1}{12}\omega_{i+1}^n + \frac{5}{6}\omega_i^n + \frac{1}{12}\omega_{i-1}^n. \tag{16}$$

Using (14) and (15), we obtain the discrete formulation of the system (9) as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_1 \frac{\varphi_i^{n+1}-2\varphi_i^n+\varphi_i^{n-1}}{\kappa^2} - \frac{k}{h^2}\left[\frac{\delta_x^2}{1+\frac{1}{12}\delta_x^2}\right]\varphi_i^n - \frac{k}{2h}(\psi_{i+1}^n-\psi_{i-1}^n) = 0, \\ \rho_2 \frac{\psi_i^{n+1}-2\psi_i^n+\psi_i^{n-1}}{\kappa^2} - \frac{b}{h^2}\left[\frac{\delta_x^2}{1+\frac{1}{12}\delta_x^2}\right]\psi_i^n + \frac{k}{2h}(\varphi_{i+1}^n-\varphi_{i-1}^n) + k\psi_i^n \\ \qquad + \frac{\delta}{2h}(\theta_{i+1}^n-\theta_{i-1}^n) + \frac{1}{2\kappa}(\psi_i^{n+1}-\psi_i^{n-1}) = 0, \\ \rho_3 \frac{(\theta_i^{n+1}-\theta_i^{n-1})}{2\kappa} + \frac{1}{2h}(q_{i+1}^n-q_{i-1}^n) + \frac{\delta}{4\kappa h}(\psi_{i+1}^{n+1}-\psi_{i-1}^{n+1}) - \frac{\delta}{4\kappa h}(\psi_{i+1}^{n-1}-\psi_{i-1}^{n-1}) = 0, \\ \tau\frac{(q_i^{n+1}-q_i^{n-1})}{2\kappa} + \beta q_i^n + \frac{1}{2h}(\theta_{i+1}^n-\theta_{i-1}^n) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

Multiplying $(17)_1$ and $(17)_2$ by $\kappa^2[1+\frac{1}{12}\delta_x^2]$ and using (16), we obtain

(18)
$$\begin{cases} \rho_1(\frac{1}{12}\varphi_{i+1}^{n+1}+\frac{5}{6}\varphi_i^{n+1}+\frac{1}{12}\varphi_{i-1}^{n+1})-\frac{1}{6}(\rho_1+6a_1)\varphi_{i+1}^n-\frac{1}{3}(5\rho_1-6a_1)\varphi_i^n-\frac{1}{6}(\rho_1+6a_1)\varphi_{i-1}^n \\ +\rho_1(\frac{1}{12}\varphi_{i+1}^{n-1}+\frac{5}{6}\varphi_i^{n-1}+\frac{1}{12}\varphi_{i-1}^{n-1})-a_2(\frac{1}{12}\psi_{i+2}^n+\frac{5}{6}\psi_{i+1}^n-\frac{5}{6}\psi_{i-1}^n-\frac{1}{12}\psi_{i-2}^n)=0, \\ \\ (\rho_2+b_3)(\frac{1}{12}\psi_{i+1}^{n+1}+\frac{5}{6}\psi_i^{n+1}+\frac{1}{12}\psi_{i-1}^{n+1})-\frac{1}{12}(2\rho_2+12b_1-b_0)\psi_{i+1}^n-\frac{1}{6}(10\rho_2-12b_1-5b_0)\psi_i^n \\ -\frac{1}{12}(2\rho_2+12b_1-b_0)\psi_{i-1}^n+b_2(\frac{1}{12}\varphi_{i+2}^n+\frac{5}{6}\varphi_{i+1}^n-\frac{5}{6}\varphi_{i-1}^n-\frac{1}{12}\varphi_{i-2}^n) \\ +b_4(\frac{1}{12}\theta_{i+2}^n+\frac{5}{6}\theta_{i+1}^n-\frac{5}{6}\theta_{i-1}^n-\frac{1}{12}\theta_{i-2}^n)-(-\rho_2+b_3)(\frac{1}{12}\psi_{i+1}^{n-1}+\frac{5}{6}\psi_i^{n-1}+\frac{1}{12}\psi_{i-1}^{n-1})=0, \end{cases}$$

where the parameters are defined by

$$a_1=\frac{k\kappa^2}{h^2},\quad a_2=b_2=\frac{k\kappa^2}{2h},\quad b_0=k\kappa^2,\quad b_1=\frac{b\kappa^2}{h^2},\quad b_3=\frac{\kappa}{2}\quad\text{and}\quad b_4=\frac{\delta\kappa^2}{2h}.$$

The discrete formulation of the initial conditions (11) is defined by

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(x_i,0)=\varphi_i^0=(\varphi_0)_i,\quad \psi(x_i,0)=\psi_i^0=(\psi_0)_i, & \text{for all } x_i\in\mathring{\Omega}_h, \\ \varphi_t(x_i,0)=(\varphi_t)_i^0=(\varphi_1)_i,\ \ \psi_t(x_i,0)=(\psi_t)_i^0=(\psi_1)_i, & \text{for all } x_i\in\mathring{\Omega}_h. \end{cases}$$

The discrete formulation of the boundary conditions (10) defined by

$$(\varphi_x)_0^n=(\varphi_x)_I^n=\psi_0^n=\psi_I^n=q_0^n=q_I^n=0,\quad \text{for all } t_n\in T_n.$$

In addition, it is natural to assume that $\varphi_{-1}^n=\varphi_0^n=\varphi_1^n$ and $\theta_{-1}^n=\theta_0^n=\theta_1^n$, since we have $(\theta_x)_0^n=(\theta_x)_I^n=0$, thanks to $(9)_4$. Hence, we obtain the following linear algebraic system:

(19)
$$\begin{cases} A_1\Phi^{n+1}=B_1\Phi^n+C_1\Psi^n+D_1\Phi^{n-1}, \\ A_2\Psi^{n+1}=B_2\Psi^n+C_2\Phi^n+D_2\Psi^{n-1}+F_2\Theta^n, \\ A_3\Theta^{n+1}+L_3\Psi^{n+1}=B_3\Theta^{n-1}-C_3Q^n+D_3\Psi^{n-1}, \\ A_4Q^{n+1}=B_4Q^{n-1}-C_4Q^n-D_4\Theta^n, \end{cases}$$

with $\Phi^n=(\varphi_1^n,\varphi_2^n,...,\varphi_{I-1}^n)^t$, $\Psi^n=(\psi_1^n,\psi_2^n,...,\psi_{I-1}^n)^t$, $\Theta^n=(\theta_1^n,\theta_2^n,...,\theta_{I-1}^n)^t$, $Q^n=(q_1^n,q_2^n,...,q_{I-1}^n)^t$, for all $n\in\{0,1...,N-1\}$ and A_p, B_p, C_p, D_p, F_2 and L_3 are $(I-1)$ square matrices for $p=1,...,4$ which will be defined below. First, we have

$$A_1=\begin{pmatrix} \frac{11}{12}\rho_1 & \frac{\rho_1}{12} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \frac{\rho_1}{12} & \frac{5}{6}\rho_1 & \ddots & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & \frac{5}{6}\rho_1 & \frac{\rho_1}{12} \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \frac{\rho_1}{12} & \frac{11}{12}\rho_1 \end{pmatrix}=-D_1.$$

It is clear that A_1 is almost tridiagonal matrix except in the first and last diagonal terms where the coefficient is $\frac{11}{12}\rho_1$. Similarly, the matrix B_1 is an almost tridiagonal matrix given as follows:

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_3 & \beta_1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_1 & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & \beta_2 & \beta_1 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \beta_1 & \beta_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\beta_1 = \frac{1}{6}(\rho_1 + 6a_1)$, $\beta_2 = \frac{1}{3}(5\rho_1 - 6a_1)$ and $\beta_3 = \frac{1}{6}(11\rho_1 - 6a_1)$.
Now, the matrices C_1, A_2, B_2 and D_2 are given by:

$$C_1 = pentadiag(-\frac{1}{12}a_2, -\frac{5}{6}a_2, 0, \frac{5}{6}a_2, \frac{1}{12}a_2),$$
$$A_2 = tridiag(\frac{1}{12}(\rho_2 + b_3), \frac{5}{6}(\rho_2 + b_3), \frac{1}{12}(\rho_2 + b_3)),$$
$$B_2 = tridiag(\frac{1}{12}(2\rho_2 + 12b_1 - b_0), \frac{1}{6}(10\rho_2 - 12b_1 - 5b_0), \frac{1}{12}(2\rho_2 + 12b_1 - b_0)),$$
$$D_2 = tridiag(\frac{1}{12}(-\rho_2 + b_3), \frac{5}{6}(-\rho_2 + b_3), \frac{1}{12}(-\rho_2 + b_3)).$$

However, the matrices C_2 and F_2 do not have any particular form and they are given as follows:

$$C_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{11b_2}{12} & -\frac{5b_2}{6} & -\frac{b_2}{12} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \frac{11b_2}{12} & 0 & -\frac{5b_2}{6} & -\frac{b_2}{12} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \frac{b_2}{12} & \frac{5b_2}{6} & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & -\frac{5b_2}{6} & -\frac{b_2}{12} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & -\frac{11b_2}{12} \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \frac{b_2}{12} & \frac{5b_2}{6} & -\frac{11b_2}{12} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$F_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{11b_4}{12} & -\frac{5b_4}{6} & -\frac{b_4}{12} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \frac{11b_4}{12} & 0 & -\frac{5b_4}{6} & -\frac{b_4}{12} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \frac{b_4}{12} & \frac{5b_4}{6} & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & -\frac{5b_4}{6} & -\frac{b_4}{12} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & -\frac{11b_4}{12} \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \frac{b_4}{12} & \frac{5b_4}{6} & -\frac{11b_4}{12} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, we denote by I_d the identity matrix of size $(I-1)$ and we introduce the following parameters

$$\tau_1 = \frac{\rho_3}{2\kappa}, \qquad \tau_2 = \frac{1}{2h}, \qquad \tau_3 = \frac{\delta}{4\kappa h}, \qquad r_1 = \frac{\tau}{2\kappa}, \qquad r_2 = \beta \quad \text{and} \qquad r_3 = \frac{1}{2h}.$$

Finally, we define the remaining matrices as below:

$$A_3 = B_3 = \tau_1 I_d,$$
$$L_3 = D_3 = tridiag(-\tau_3, 0, \tau_3),$$
$$C_3 = tridiag(-\tau_2, 0, \tau_2),$$
$$A_4 = B_4 = r_1 I_d,$$
$$C_4 = r_2 I_d,$$
$$D_4 = \begin{pmatrix} -r_3 & r_3 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ -r_3 & 0 & r_3 & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & r_3 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -r_3 & r_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

3.2. **Numerical tests.** To verify the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of the Timoshenko system (9), we consider the following data $I = 26$, $T = 35$, $c = 0,05$ and the initial conditions:

$$\text{(20)} \qquad \begin{cases} \varphi_0(x) = \psi_0(x) = \theta_0(x) = q_0(x) = 0, \\ \varphi_1(x) = cos(\pi x), \quad \psi_1(x) = sin(2\pi x), \\ \theta_t(x,0) = \theta_1(x) = (\frac{-2\pi\delta}{\rho_3})cos(2\pi x), \\ q_t(x,0) = q_1(x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that in what follows the energy decay of the solution is proven by taking the maximum value of the function of the displacement φ.

3.2.1. *The case* $\mu = 0$. For the following numerical computation we will consider different values for the parameters $k, \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, b, \beta$ and τ. For example, in Figure 2 below, we take $k = \rho_1 = \rho_2 = 2$, $b = \rho_3 = \beta = 1$, $\delta = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$ and $\tau = 3$.



FIGURE 2

We recall here that we have theoretically obtained an exponential decay of the energy of the Timoshenko solution (see Theorem 2).

3.2.2. *The case* $\mu \neq 0$. Similarly as for the case $\mu = 0$, we take different values for the parameters $k, \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, b, \beta$ and τ. For example in Figure 3, we take $k = b = \rho_1 = \rho_2 = 2$ and $\rho_3 = \delta = \beta = \tau = 1$.



FIGURE 3

Here we have theoretically obtained a polynomial decay of the energy.
Finally, in figure 4 we give the three dimensional pointwise numerical solution of the Timoshenko system (9). This proves again the energy decay of the transversal displacement φ, for t large enough.



FIGURE 4

REFERENCES

[1] Ayadi M.A, Bchatnia A, Hamouda M and Messaoudi S., *General decay in a Timoshenko-type system with thermoelasticity with second sound.* Advances Nonlinear Analysis, DOI: 10.1515/anona-2015-0038.

[2] C. A. Raposo, J. A. D. Chuquipoma, J. A. J. Avila, M. L. Santos, *Exponential decay and numerical solution for a Timoshenko system with delay term in the internal feedback. International Journal of Analysis and Applications.* Vol. 3, no. **1**, (2013), 1-13.

[3] Fernández Sare, H. D., and Racke, R., *On the stability of damped Timoshenko systems: Cattaneo versus Fourier law*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **194** (**1**) (2009), 221-251.

[4] Soufyane A. and Wehbe A., *Uniform stabilization for the Timoshenko beam by a locally distributed damping,* Electron. J. Differential Equations no. **29** (2003), 1-14.

[5] Messaoudi, S. A., and Mustafa, M. I., *On the stabilization of the Timoshenko system by a weak nonlinear dissipation*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., **32** (**4**) (2009), 454-469.
[6] Muñoz Rivera J.E. and Racke R., *Mildly dissipative nonlinear Timoshenko systems-global existence and exponential stability, J.* Math. Anal. Appl. **276** (2002), 248-276.

UR ANALYSE NON-LINÉAIRE ET GÉOMETRIE, UR13ES32, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences of Tunis, University of Tunis El-Manar, 2092 El Manar II, Tunisia
E-mail address: ayadi.dali23@gmail.com

UR ANALYSE NON-LINÉAIRE ET GÉOMETRIE, UR13ES32, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences of Tunis, University of Tunis El-Manar, 2092 El Manar II, Tunisia
E-mail address: ahmed.bchatnia@fst.rnu.tn

Institute for Scientific Computing and Applied Mathematics, Indiana University, 831 E. 3rd St., Rawles Hall, Bloomington IN 47405, United States
E-mail address: mahamoud@indiana.edu